

STATE ETHICS COMMISSION 1324 MAIL SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH, NC 27699-1324

George L. Wainwright, Jr., Chair Jane F. Finch, Vice Chair

Commissioners: Francis X. DeLuca William P. Farthing, Jr Tommy D. McKnight Robert L. Moseley, Jr. Clarence G. Newsome J. LeRoy Roberts Perry Y. Newson, Executive Director

Phone: 919-715-2071 Fax: 919-715-1644 Email: ethics.commission@doa.nc.gov www.ethicscommission.nc.gov

CONFIDENTIAL FORMAL ADVISORY OPINION

February 13, 2015

Mr. John Aldridge Assistant General Counsel North Carolina Sheriff's Association P.O. Box 20049 Raleigh, NC 27619-0049

> RE: Providing Complimentary Admission to Public Servants and Judicial Officers Attending the NCSA's 2015 Spring Meeting AO-L-15-002

Dear Mr. Aldridge:

This is in response to your January 20, 2015, request for a formal advisory opinion. You have asked whether the Lobbying Law, G.S. Chapter 120C, would allow the North Carolina Sheriff's Association ("NCSA"), a lobbyist principal, to provide complimentary admission to its 2015 Spring meeting to various public servants and judicial officers; and whether the State Government Ethics Act ("the Ethics Act"), G.S. Chapter 138A, would allow public servants and judicial officers to accept the NCSA's offer of complimentary admission. You also seek guidance on the reporting obligations of the NCSA under the Lobbying Law.

This formal advisory opinion is based upon the information provided by the NCSA and was adopted by the State Ethics Commission ("Commission") at its February 13, 2015, meeting.¹

I. <u>Brief Conclusion</u>.

Based upon a review of the agenda for the conference, the Commission has determined that the NCSA may invite certain public servants to the 2015 Spring meeting and provide complimentary meeting admission to attending public servants along with food, beverages, and informational materials provided to meeting attendees as part of the meeting or in conjunction with the meeting.

¹ Please see the enclosure entitled "Recommended Formal Advisory Opinions Issued by the State Ethics Commission" for further information regarding the protections offered to individuals receiving those opinions.

AO-L-15-002 February 13, 2015 Mr. John Aldridge Page 2 of 5

Judicial officers² are not subject to the gift ban of the Ethics Act and the NCSA would therefore not be restricted by the Lobbying Law from offering them complimentary admission to the 2015 Spring meeting and the Ethics Act would not restrict judicial officers from accepting complimentary admission to the meeting.³ However, judicial officers *are* subject to the restrictions of the North Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct and therefore should seek further guidance from the Judicial Standards Commission.

II. <u>The Facts</u>.

The NCSA conducts periodic meetings to which its members are invited. They include NCSA's Annual Training Conference and two biannual meetings held in the spring and the fall. The NCSA would like to invite various judges, district attorneys, and certain senior officials in the State executive branch to attend its 2015 Spring meeting on April 27-29, 2015, at Wrightsville Beach. The NCSA would provide complimentary admission to the meeting along with associated information materials, food, and beverages. Those attendees will be expected to pay their own travel and lodging expenses.

In addition to a number of judges and federal officials, you propose to invite public servants within the following State agencies: the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Community College System; the Offices of the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, State Auditor, Chief Medical Examiner, and Chief Information Officer; the Departments of Justice, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Commerce, Cultural Resources, Health and Human Services, Labor, Agriculture, Public Safety, Environment and Natural Resources, Insurance, Transportation, Public Instruction, and Revenue; and the Governor's Crime Commission and the ABC, Utilities, and Parole Commissions.

You have provided the agenda for the 2015 Spring meeting of the NCSA. That meeting's agenda is as follows:

- 1) **April 27, Afternoon**: General business meeting, closed session open only to sheriffs and sheriffs' personnel, training session ("Reacting to the Reduction in Civil Asset Forfeiture Funds"), welcome reception.
- 2) **April 28, All Day**: Breakfast, general business meeting, morning training sessions ("The Impact of Body-Worn Cameras on Use-of-Force Incidents" and "Use of Body Cameras from a Sheriff's Perspective"), lunch, afternoon training session ("Social Media"), and two general sessions of 45 minutes total.
- 3) **April 29, Morning**: Breakfast, morning training session ("Burning Questions Confronting the Office of Sheriff"), two business sessions totaling one hour.

² Judicial officers include a "justice or judge of the General Court of Justice, district attorney, clerk of court, or any individual elected or appointed to any of these positions prior to taking office." G.S. 138A-3(19). The Director and Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts are considered public servants under the Ethics Act.

 $^{^{3}}$ However, judicial officers, along with legislators, legislative employees, and public servants, are restricted by G.S. 138A-32(a) from soliciting and receiving anything of value in return for being influenced in connection with their official responsibilities.

AO-L-15-002 February 13, 2015 Mr. John Aldridge Page 3 of 5

III. <u>Applicable Statutory Provisions</u>.

A. <u>The Gift Ban and Exceptions</u>.

The Lobbying Law prohibits a lobbyist, lobbyist principal, or legislative liaison from giving direct or indirect gifts (defined as "anything of monetary value"), to a designated individual (legislators, legislative employees, and public servants), unless a gift ban exception applies. G.S. 120C-303(a). The Ethics Act also restricts legislators and public servants from accepting those gifts. G.S. 138A-32(c).⁴

One of the gift ban exceptions, G.S. 138A-32(e)(3), allows a lobbyist principal (but not a lobbyist) to pay for those "reasonable actual expenditures" incurred by a public servant, legislator, or legislative employee in connection with attending one of four types of meetings delineated in that exception. In particular, G.S. 138A-32(e)(3)(i) allows the payment of expenses incurred for attending "an educational meeting for purposes primarily related to the public duties and responsibilities of the covered person"

Listed "reasonable actual expenditures" include food, beverages, registration costs, travel, lodging, other incidental items of nominal value, and entertainment provided "in connection with" a designated individual's attendance at the meeting. G.S. 138A-32(e)(3).

The Commission has adopted various non-exclusive factors to be considered when determining what is an "educational meeting" under G.S. 138A-32(e)(3)(i), including the following:

- 1) Whether the meeting's primary purpose is to promote learning for professional improvement or to influence a particular legislative or official action;
- 2) Whether the meeting's organizer is an independent educational or governmental institution or a lobbyist principal;
- 3) Whether the meeting would take place without the invited public officials;
- 4) The proportion of educational content relative to meals and entertainment provided at the meeting and the proportion of presenters who are outside experts or are independent from the lobbyist principal paying for the meeting expenses; and
- 5) The relationship between the meeting's location and length to its educational purpose.

30 NCAC 07C.0101.

In addition to the specific meeting criteria outlined above, G.S. 138A-32(e)(3) sets forth general requirements that must also be met. They include a requirement that any (a) food, beverages,

⁴ Although neither gift ban applies to the giving or receipt of gifts by judicial officers, the North Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct does restrict the acceptance of gifts by North Carolina judges under certain circumstances. The North Carolina Judicial Standards Commission is granted the authority to issue formal advisory opinions interpreting the Code.

AO-L-15-002 February 13, 2015 Mr. John Aldridge Page 4 of 5

transportation, or entertainment provided to the designated individuals also be provided to all attendees or defined groups of 10 or more attendees "as part of the meeting or in conjunction with the meeting"; (b) that the meeting be attended by at least 10 or more participants, have a formal agenda, and be noticed at least 10 days in advance; and (c) that any entertainment provided "must be incidental to the principal agenda of the meeting."

The "reimbursement exception" of the gift ban also allows a lobbyist principal to defray meeting-related expenses of public servants as long as acceptance of those expenses is approved by the agency as expenses that are work-related and of the type that would otherwise be reimbursed by the State. G.S. 138A-32(f).

B. <u>Lobbying Law Reporting Requirements</u>.

G.S. 120C-403(b)(5) requires that registered lobbyist principals report expenditures made for certain "gifts" given to legislators, legislative employees, and public servants that are allowed under the exceptions to the Ethics Act's gift ban. "Gifts" are defined to include "anything of monetary value given or received without valuable consideration" G.S. 138A-3(15). The reporting obligation is triggered once the value of gifts given by a lobbyist principal to those individuals or member of their immediate family exceed \$10.

In applying this requirement to events and meetings where complimentary admission is provided, the "fair market value" of admission would be the amount charged to members of the general public to attend the event. G.S. 120C-401(b)(1). If there was no admission charge applied to members of the public, the market value of admission may be calculated using the actual per person cost of the food, beverages, and other items of value provided at the event; or by totaling the cost of the event(s) attended by the legislator or public servant and dividing that total by the number of individuals attending, to get the per person cost of the event. Alternatively, for food and beverage events, the caterer's per person charge for the event can also be used. AO-L-09-002 (State Ethics Commission, February 13, 2009).

IV. Analysis.

Based upon a review of the schedule and content of the 2015 Spring meeting, the Commission has concluded that the meeting complies with the educational meeting criteria. The meeting agenda includes diverse topics and presenters, has continuous presentations with educational content starting the afternoon of the meeting's first day and continuing until the morning of the third day, and includes meals in direct proximity to those training sessions. In addition, the meals provided are not disproportionate to the amount of educational content provided. Finally, that exception's general requirements have been met.

The educational meeting exception also requires that the particular topics to be covered at the meeting are primarily related to the public duties and responsibilities of those public servants attending. Based upon available information concerning the relationship between the meeting topics and the law enforcement-related duties of the various public servants invited, it has been determined that the 2015 Spring meeting is primarily related to the duties of those public servants within the Administrative Office of the Courts; the Offices of the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor; the Departments of

AO-L-15-002 February 13, 2015 Mr. John Aldridge Page 5 of 5

Justice and Public Safety; and the ABC Commission, Parole Commission, and Governor's Crime Commission.

Therefore, the educational meeting exception would allow NCSA to provide complimentary admission to public servants in the agencies listed above and to make the reception, two breakfasts, and lunch available to individuals attending that meeting. As noted, the NCSA is not restricted by the Lobbying Law's gift ban from providing complimentary admission to judicial officers attending the meeting.

With respect to those public servants from the agencies not listed above, based upon the information provided and the Commission's understanding of the agency's public duties, the content of the meeting does not appear to be primarily related to the official's responsibilities. However, the individual agencies in question may give advance approval to a particular official to attend the 2015 Spring meeting under the "reimbursement exception" referenced above as long as the agency determines that the official's attendance is related to his or her position.

The fair market value of the complimentary admission, including food and beverage, meeting materials, and incidental items of monetary value given to public servants would be reportable by NCSA on its expenditure report filed with the Office of the Secretary of State. That gift shall be reported on the expense report filed for the month the reportable expenditure is received by the legislators and public servants, e.g. the date(s) on which the event was attended. 30 NCAC 10C .0102.

V. <u>Closing</u>.

Thank you for contacting the State Ethics Commission. Please do not hesitate to call the Commission's staff if you have any questions about the foregoing formal advisory opinion. Pursuant to G.S. 120C-102(d1), an unedited copy of this formal advisory opinion will be forwarded to the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State is statutorily obligated to treat the opinion as confidential and not a public record.

Formal Advisory Opinions Issued by the State Ethics Commission

Upon the written request of a public servant or legislative employee, G.S. 138A-13(a) of the State Government Ethics Act ("the Ethics Act") authorizes the State Ethics Commission ("Commission") to issue formal advisory opinions on the "meaning and application" of the Ethics Act "and the public servant's or legislative employee's compliance therewith." All opinions have prospective application only, are limited to the particular facts presented, and confer limited civil immunity upon a requester who follows the advice given. G.S. 138A-13(a) and (a2).

Reliance upon a formal advisory opinion immunizes the public servant or legislative employee making the request from (1) investigation by the Commission, except the alleged violation of criminal law while performing his or her official duties, (2) adverse action by his or her employing entity, or (3) investigation by the Secretary of State. G.S. 138A-13(a2).

Once issued by the Commission, formal advisory opinions are published in a redacted format on the Commission's website within 30 days of issuance. G.S. 138A-13(d). Otherwise, requests for advisory opinions, the opinions themselves, and all materials related to the opinions are confidential and are not public records. G.S. 138A-13(e).

Upon the written request of any person, State agency, or governmental unit affected by G.S. Chapter 120C ("the Lobbying Law"), G.S. 120C-102(a1) authorizes the State Ethics Commission ("Commission") to issue formal advisory opinions "on the meaning and application" of the Lobbying Law and "that person's, State agency's or any other governmental unit's compliance therewith." All opinions have prospective application only and must relate to real or reasonably anticipated fact settings or circumstances. G.S. 120C-102(a). Formal advisory opinions confer limited civil immunity upon a requester who follows the advice given. G.S. 120C-102(a1).

Once issued by the Commission, formal advisory opinions are published in an edited format on the Commission's website within 30 days of issuance. G.S. 120C-102(c). Requests for advisory opinions, the opinions themselves, and all materials related to the opinions are confidential and are not public records. G.S. 120C-102(d). However, the Commission is required to send an unedited copy of each formal advisory opinion to the Secretary of State's Office at the time the formal advisory opinion is issued to the requester, and the Secretary of State is required to treat the formal advisory opinion as confidential and not a matter of public record. G.S. 120C-102(d1). In addition, Commission staff is specifically authorized to share all information and documents related to requests for formal advisory opinions with the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State's Office is required to treat any such information and documents in its possession as confidential and not a matter of G.S. 120C-102(d1).